


CHAFFEY  COLLEGE
OAC COMMITTEE MEETING
SUMMARY NOTES
JANUARY 11, 2010 ~ SSA-227

PRESENT: Mamta Agarwal Tim Arner Marie Boyd Angela Burk-Herrick
 Sid Burks Bev Cox Shelley Eckvahl Jim Fillpot
 Sherrie Guerrero Monica Han Laura Hope Sonia Juarez
 Gail Keith-Gibson Daniel Kern Christine Lively Cynthia Parker
 Inge Pelzer Laurie Pratt Diana Sanchez Giovanni Sosa
 Victoria Tirado Tom Vitzelio Lori Waite

RECORDER: Monica Han

CALL TO ORDER: The meeting was called to order by Co-Chair, Marie Boyd, at 12:44 p.m.

REPORTS:

INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH—Inge Pelzer reported that with the resignation of Keith Wurtz, Institutional Research is in the process of developing a hierarchy of requests to prioritize research requests. The online research request form will be revised. The department would like the OAC committee to encourage pre/post test assessments as it is the simplest form of assessment.

NEW BUSINESS:

SLO CIRCUS WRAP UP—Marie asked members present to provide feedback of the event and distributed an evaluation form. Marie reported breakout sessions were well attended. People are starting to rethink how SLOs fit into PSR. In the future, the OAC committee would like the FLEX committee to stress preregistration and caps for proper room planning and facilitation as one session was overwhelmingly crowded. One unexpected outcome was the level of computer literacy amongst faculty. An introductory workshop to CurricUNET may be scheduled in the Faculty Success Center to address this. Overall, the format was well received and may be repeated for Fall 2010.

ANNUAL OUTCOMES AND ASSESSMENTS MONITORING REPORT—As a reminder the purpose of the monitoring report is to gauge the current level of SLO/AUO activity throughout the college in preparation for the accreditation visit in March. The original assessment was sent to departments in December. After the break, Tom Vitzelio and Giovanni Sosa addressed a few glitches in the initial survey and reworked the online form. Presently, less than 15 departments have submitted the survey. Sherrie Guerrero suggested the committee streamline the process to be sensitive to already impacted workloads.

There was some discussion about extending the deadline, and, after much debate, the committee agreed to extend the deadline to Friday, January 29. Also, the next survey should be emailed to specific coordinators and those responsible for said programs because there was some concern that if the assessment is sent in a global email, no one knows who is responsible for what. A targeted email should solicit more participation.

ADJOURNMENT—1:18 p.m.