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INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT

The Board of Trustees
The Citizens’ Oversight Committee
Chaffey Community College District
5885 Haven Avenue
Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91737-3002

We have examined the Chaffey Community College District’s compliance with the performance requirements for the Proposition 39/Measure L General Obligation Bonds for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009, under the applicable provisions of Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIII A of the California Constitution and Proposition 39 as they apply to the Bonds and the net proceeds thereof. Management is responsible for the Chaffey Community College District’s compliance with those requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Chaffey Community College District’s compliance based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and, accordingly, included examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Chaffey Community College District’s compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our examination does not provide a legal determination on Chaffey Community College District’s compliance with specified requirements.

In our opinion, the Chaffey Community College District complied, in all material respects, with the aforementioned requirements for the year ended June 30, 2009.
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OBJECTIVES

The objectives of our Performance Audit for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 were to:

- determine the expenditures charged to the Chaffey Community College District Bond Building Fund;

- determine whether expenditures charged to the Bond Building Fund have been made in accordance with the bond project list approved by the voters through the approval of Measure L in March 2002;

- note any incongruities or system weaknesses and provide recommendations for improvement;

- provide the District Board and the Citizens’ Oversight Committee with a performance audit as required under the requirements of the California Constitution and Proposition 39.

SCOPE OF THE AUDIT

The scope of our performance audit covered the fiscal period from July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009. The expenditures tested included all object and project codes associated with the bond projects. The propriety of expenditures for capital projects and maintenance projects funded through other State or local funding sources, other than the proceeds of the bonds, were not included within the scope of our audit. Expenditures incurred subsequent to June 30, 2009 were not reviewed or included within the scope of our audit or in this report.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION

In November 2000, the voters of the State of California approved Proposition 39 authorizing the issuance of general obligation bonds by California public school districts and community colleges, under certain circumstances and subject to certain conditions. In March 2002, a general obligation bond proposition (Measure L) of the Chaffey Community College District was approved by the voters of the District. Measure L authorized the District to issue up to $230,000,000 of general obligation bonds to finance various capital projects, and related costs, as specified in the bond measure provisions.

Pursuant to the requirements of Proposition 39 and related State legislation, the Board of Trustees of the District has established a Citizens’ Oversight Committee and appointed its members. The principal purpose of the Citizens’ Oversight Committee, as set out in State law, is to inform the public as to the expenditures made using the proceeds of the bonds issued pursuant to the Measure L bond authorization. The Citizens’ Oversight Committee is required to issue at least one report annually as to its activities and findings.

Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIII A of the California Constitution requires the District to conduct an annual independent performance audit to ensure that the proceeds of the bonds deposited into the Bond Building Fund have been expended only for the authorized bond projects.
PROcedures Performed

We obtained the Bond Building Fund general ledger and the project expenditure reports prepared by the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009. Within the fiscal year audited, we obtained the actual invoices and other supporting documentation for expenditures to ensure compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39 and Measure L with regards to the approved bond projects list. In accordance with Proposition 39 Measure L requirements, we performed the following procedures:

- reviewed the projects listed to be funded with general obligation bond proceeds as set out in the Measure L election documents;
- selected a sample of expenditures for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 and reviewed supporting documentation to ensure that such funds were properly expended on the authorized bond projects;
- verified that funds from the Bond Building Fund were generally expended for the construction, reconstruction, acquisition, furnishing and equipping of District facilities constituting the authorized bond projects;
- verified that salaries charged were for eligible expenditures and were not for ineligible salaries of school administrators or other operating expenses of the District.
CONCLUSION

Based upon our procedures performed, we found that for the items tested, the Chaffey Community College District has properly accounted for the expenditure of funds held in the Bond Building Fund and that such expenditures were made on authorized bond projects. Furthermore, it was noted that the funds expended from the Bond Building Fund were not used for ineligible salaries of school administrators or other operating expenditures.